



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,853	08/29/2003	David Duncan	7535.00007	8130
29747	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
QUIRK & 7	TRATOS ARD HUGHES PARKWAY		CHANG, S	SUNRAY
SUITE 500 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAS VEGAS	S, NV 89109		2121	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  10/651,853  DUNCAN ET AL.  Examiner Surray Chang  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 30/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10
Examiner Sunray Chang  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50% (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set application to become ABANDOC, 55 U.S.C. S, 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed; may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)
Surray Chang
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explore SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or adended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed; may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 August 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.c. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men'ts is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected to Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Finiture for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Finiture for reply it is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Finiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply view, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed; may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The provided to be the Examiner.  Application Papers 10  accepted or by 10 objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers 20  August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or by 10 objected to by the Examiner.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>
Attachment(s)
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030829.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) CS. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 17 are presented for examination.

Claims 1 - 17 are rejected.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mark J.

Nixon (U.S. Patent No. 6,806,847, and referred to as Nixon hereinafter).

- 3. Regarding independent claim 1, Nixon teaches,
- A system for facilities management, [provide enhanced support within a process control environment, Col. 1, Lines 11 14] comprising:
- a programmable logic controller; [portable computer, Abstract] wherein

Art Unit: 2121

the programmable logic controller [portable computer] includes an interface [keypad] designed to receive customization information from a user [a user input received from the keypad]. [Abstract]

#### 4. Regarding dependent claims 2 - 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15 and 17,

the portals each include

a respective set of selected field devices [device, Col. 16, Lines 46 - 55, Col. 18, Lines 36 - 43], inputs [input, Col. 18, Lines 36 - 43], outputs [the information, Col. 18, Lines 36 - 43], and a logic script [user input received from the keypad and sends a command, Abstract; Fig. 7 - 14].

#### 5. Regarding independent claim 5,

A system for facilities management, [provide enhanced support within a process control environment, Col. 1, Lines 11 – 14] comprising:

- a server; [host computer, Col. 4, Lines 42 40]
- a client [portable computer system] in communication with the server [host computer]; [Col.
   4, Lines 42 40]
- a personality module [module, software routine] in communication [information] with the
   server [host computer]; [Col. 15, Lines 8 20; Col. 18, Line 60 Col. 19, Line 12]
- a user interface displayed on the client, [Fig. 7 14]
- the user interface designed to receive custom logic scripts from a user [manipulate the information, Col. 18, Lines 40 − 43]; [see also Col. 18, Lines 13 − 43] and

Art Unit: 2121

a virtual machine [graphical display] residing on the personality module [module, software routine], [Col. 15, Lines 8 – 20; Col. 18, Line 60 – Col. 19, Line 13]

the virtual machine [graphical display] designed to execute the custom logic scripts [user input received from the keypad and sends a command, Abstract; Fig. 7 – 14].

# 6. Regarding dependent claim 8,

The system of claim 5, wherein:

- the personality module [module, Col. 19, Lines 2 13; software routine, Col. 15, Lines 8 –
   20] is coupled to a field device [Fig. 6; Fig. 7 14]; [Col. 18, Line 60 Col. 19, Line 13] and
- the personality module [module, software routine] stores any logic scripts [user input, Col.
   18, Lines 40 43] related [condition] to interacting with the field device [Fig. 12].

### 7. Regarding independent claim 9,

- A system for facilities management, [provide enhanced support within a process control environment, Col. 1, Lines 11 14] comprising:
- an interface designed to receive customization information from a user, [a user input from the keypad and sends a command, Abstract]
- the customization information including logic scripts to be used in interacting with field devices; [Fig. 7 – 14, manipulate the information, activated, Col. 18, Lines 40 – 43]
- a virtual machine [graphical display, Col. 19, Lines 2 13] for performing the logic scripts
   [manipulate the information, activated, Col. 18, Lines 40 43].

Art Unit: 2121

## 8. Regarding dependent claim 13,

The system of claim 9, further including:

- a personality module [module, Col. 19, Lines 2 13; software routine, Col. 15, Lines 8 20, see also Col. 15, Lines 21 45] in communication [information] with at least one device [Fig. 6; Fig. 7 14],
- the personality module [module, software routine] including the virtual machine [graphical display, Col. 19, Lines 2 13]; [Col. 15, Lines 8 20; Col. 18, Line 60 Col. 19, Line 12]
- a display [HUD, Col. 15, Lines 8 20] in communication with the personality module
   [module, software routine], wherein
- the interface [graphical display] is on the display [HUD].

#### 9. Regarding independent claim 14,

- receiving user-defined logic scripts [user input received from the keypad and sends a command, Abstract; Fig. 7 – 14], wherein
- the logic scripts define system access policies [command];
- performing the user-defined logic scripts [manipulate the information, activated, Col. 18,
   Lines 40 43].

# 10. Regarding independent claim 16,

• A machine-readable media for use in an access control system [host computer].

Art Unit: 2121

the machine-readable media including instructions [software routine] which when executed [executed] by one or more computers in an access control system [computer] cause the access control system to perform the steps of: [Col. 15, Lines 8 – 20]

- receiving user-defined logic scripts [implementing the functionality], [Col. 15, Lines 8 20]
   wherein
- the logic scripts define system access policies [functionality]; [Col. 15, Lines 8 20]
- performing the user-defined logic scripts. [carry out field device activities, Col. 16, Lines 46
   55]

### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Causey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,641,533) discloses a field device, a facilities management system, a display, a housing, a PDA, a module, a server, a LCD touch screen, and ports connecting to server and field devices. Starkey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,428,555) discloses a monitor & control computer, and a netport connected to the devices.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2121 Technology Center 2100 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

June 24, 2005

Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600